

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,760	01/26/2001	Yoshihiro Tsuchiya	108337	7395	
25944 7	7590 11/08/2002				
	RRIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI	28 A, VA 22320	LETSCHER, GEORGE J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2653		
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1/2

•				4		IL
			Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	N
			09/769,	760	TSUCHIYA ET A	L. ,
	Office Ac	tion Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	1
			George .	J. Letscher	2653	
Perio	The MAILING d for Reply	DATE of this communication	on appears on ti	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
TH - - - - /	HE MAILING DATE Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror if the period for reply speci if NO period for reply in the salure to reply within the salure to reply received by the carmed patent term adjustn	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT OF THIS COMMUNICAT available under the provisions of 37 available under the provisions of 37 available under this communicatified above is less than thirty (30) day actified above, the maximum statutory et or extended period for reply will, by office later than three months after the nent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no elition. s, a reply within the st period will apply and y statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reatutory minimum of thirm will expire SIX (6) MON polication to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered time it ITHS from the mailing date of this of the constant of the cons	ely. communication.
1)	Responsive to	communication(s) filed o	n <u>26 January 2</u>	<u>001</u> .		
2a)	This action is	FINAL. 2b)	This action i	is non-final.		
3) Dispo	Since this app closed in acco sition of Claims	olication is in condition for ordance with the practice ι	allowance exceunder Ex parte	ept for formal ma <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to t D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is
4)	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are pending in the appli	cation.			
	4a) Of the abov	re claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from c	onsideration.		
5)	Claim(s)	_ is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s)	_ is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8)	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> a	are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	equirement.		
Applic	ation Papers					
	· ·	n is objected to by the Exa				
10)[☐ The drawing(s)	filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)	objected to by t	he Examiner.	
		not request that any objection			• •	
11)[rawing correction filed on			isapproved by the Examir	ner.
		rrected drawings are required		Office action.		
		laration is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C	. §§ 119 and 120				
13)[☐ Acknowledgme	ent is made of a claim for f	oreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ So	me * c)☐ None of:				
	1. ☐ Certified	copies of the priority docu	ıments have be	en received.		
	2. Certified	copies of the priority docu	ıments have be	en received in A	pplication No	
	appli	of the certified copies of the cation from the Internation I detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PC)	Γ Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14)	_	t is made of a claim for do		-		l application)
_	_a) 🔲 The transla	tion of the foreign languag	ge provisional a	pplication has be	een received.	п арриоацопу.
اری Attachn		t is made of a claim for do	mesuc priority	under 35 U.S.C.	99 120 and/or 121.	
_	otice of References Cit	ed (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	v(e)
2) 🔲 N	otice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 tatement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			nformal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/769,760 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a magnetic transducer, classified in class360, subclass 324.11.
- II. Claims 23-27, drawn to a method of manufacturing a magnetic transducer, classified in class 29, subclass 603.14.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process other than forming, oxidizing and nitriding such as CVD and sputtering.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,760

Art Unit: 2653

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

```
Species I - Figures 1-8;
Species II - Figure 14;
Species III - Figure 15;
Species IV - Figure 16.
```

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence

Application/Control Number: 09/769,760

Art Unit: 2653

now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Letscher whose telephone number is (703) 305-7912.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

George Letscher November 7, 2002

George Letscher
Primary Examiner
AU 2653